

Journal of AgriSearch 3(4):223-225

ISSN : 2348-8808 (Print), 2348-8867 (Online) http://dx.doi.org/10.21921/jas.v3i4.6706



Effect of Probiotic Fermentation on Antinutrients and the *Invitro* digestibilities of Starch and Protein of Pearl Millet Based Food Mixture

BINITA RANI*

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Barh, Patna, Bihar (India)

ABSTRACT

ARTICLE INFO		
Received on	:	17.10.2016
Accepted on	:	15.11.2016
Published online	:	14.12.2016

The consumers over whelming interest for functional foods, including probiotics have resulted in attempts to develop an indigenously developed food mixture containing pearl millet, chickpea, skim milk powder and tomato pulp (2:1:1:1 w/w). The mixture was autoclaved, cooled and fermented with a probiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus*-R at 37° C for 24 h. Both the antinutrients i.e. phytic acid and polyphenols were reduced significantly after autoclaving as well as fermentation whereas *in vitro* digestibility of starch and protein was significantly (P< 0.05) improved. A significant negative relationship was obtained between the content of antinutrients and digestibilities.

Keywords: Probiotic, Lactobacillus acidophilus-R

INTRODUCTION

Many foods, particularly those of plant origin contain a wide range of antinutritional factors (Ganguli *et al.*, 2014). Unrefined cereals and millets are the richest source of phytic acid and polyphenols which contributes to poor digestion and absorption of different nutrients present in the foods. If a food mixture is developed from the commonly used cereals and legumes and then fermented with a probiotic organism, It may have a better profile of nutrients. But no such study has been conducted till today on the development of probiotic fermented products based on cereals, legumes or their blends. Therefore, in the present investigation, an attempt was made to develop an indigenous food mixture and study the effect of probiotic fermentation with *L. acidophilus*-R on its contents of antinutrients and *in vitro* digestibility of starch and protein.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pearl millet was procured from the Department of plant Breeding, Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India. Chickpea and tomato were procured from the local market while skim milk powder and L. acidophilus-R culture were collected from NDRI, Karnal, India. Seedless tomato pulp was obtained by mashing and sieving the blanched tomatoes in a thick strainer. The developed food mixture contained freshly ground pearl millet and chickpea along with skim milk powder and tomato pulp (2:1:1:1 w/w). The developed food mixture (100 gm) was mixed with distilled water (600 ml), stirred sufficiently to obtain a homogenous slurry, autoclaved at 121°C for 15 min., cooled, inoculated with L. acidophilus-R (10⁵ cells/ml) and fermented (37°C, 24 hour) in triplicates. The unfermented slurry before and after autoclaving served as controls. These slurries were dried at 60°C in a hot air oven to a constant weight. The oven dried samples were ground to a fine powder (0.5 mm sieve) and used for chemical analysis. Phytic acid content was determined by the method of Haug and Lantzsch (1983). Total polyphenols, extracted in methanol containing 1% HCl (Singh and Jambunathan, 1981) were estimated as tannic acid equivalent according to Folin-Denic procedure . Starch digestibility (*in vitro*) was estimated by employing pancreatic amylase (Singh *et al.*, 1982). The maltose so liberated was measured colorimetrically by using dinitrosalicylic acid reagent. Protein digestibility (*in vitro*) was determined by using pepsin and pancreatin (Akeson and Stahmann, 1964) and calculated by the following formula [Eq. 1]:

Digested proteins X 100

Protein digestibility (%)=-----[Eq.1]

Total proteins

The data were analyzed statistically in a completely randomized design to test the significant differences among treatments and correlation coefficients were also derived (Panse and Sukhatme, 1961).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The content of phytic acid and polyphenols in the developed food mixture were 307 mg and 544.27 mg per 100 mg respectively on dry matter basis. When food mixture was autoclaved at 121°C for 15 min, a significant reduction (P<0.05) in phytic acid (upto 27%) and polyphenols (upto 11%) was observed (Table 1). Upon fermentation of the autoclaved slurry with L. acidophilus-R (37°C, 24 h), phytic acid content came down from 307 mg to 133.08 mg per 100 gm. A significant (P<0.05) decline to the extent of 18% occurred in polyphenols content when the mixture was autoclaved, cooled and then fermented with probiotic micro-organism. A decreased amount of phytic acid and polyphenols in autoclaved mixture may be due to the formation of insoluble complex with protein and minerals (Ekfenyong, 1985). Reduction of phytic acid content of the fermented mixture may be attributed to phytase from the microbial source (Lopez et al., 1983). Similar results has been observed in rice defatted soyflour blends (Goyal and Khetarpaul, 1993). The

^{*}Corresponding Author Email:patnakvk@gmail.com

diminishing effect of fermentation on polyphenols level may be due to the activity of polyphenol oxidase present in the foodgrains or microflora (Sindhu and Khetarpaul, 2001). A reduction in polyphenolic content of peral millet during culture fermentation with *L. brevis* or *L. fermentum* has been reported earlier (Khetarpaul and Chauhan, 1990).

Table 1: Changes in phytic acid and polyphenol contents during fermentation (mg/100mg, on dry matter basis)

Processing treatments	Phytic acid	Polyphenols
Raw blend (control)	307.00±7.43	544.27±13.28
Unfermented autoclaved mixture	223.25±6.02(27)	485.67±14.56(11)
Fermented autoclaved mixture	133.08±4.7(57)	446.61±12.28(18)
SE m (±)	5.08	5.99
CD(P<0.05)	15.23	17.96

* Values are means ± SD of three independent determinations.

Figure in parenthesis indicate percent decrease (-) over control values.

In vitro starch digestibility (expressed as mg maltose released per g sample) of unprocessed food mixture was 30.12gm (Table 2) and it improved significantly (P<0.05) upon autoclaving (121°C, 15 min.). Probiotic fermentation improved it further and doubled it in the fermented mixture. Enhanced digestibility of cereal and legume starch by α -amylase could be attributed to the swelling and rupturing of starch granules, which facilitate more randomized

configuration of α -amylase to affect amylolytic hydrolysis, the disintergration of various plant food components during cooking and inactivation of α -amylase inhibitors (Subbulakshmi *et al.*, 1976). Increase in starch digestibility of fermented products may be related to enzymatic properties of microbes, which fement the substrate. The presence of α amylase in the fermenting bacteria was noticed by Bernfeld (1962) and Soni and Sandhu(1990).)

Table 2: Effect of fermentation with *L. acidophilus*-R on *in vitro* starch digestability (mg maltose released/g mixture) and *in vitro* protein digestibility (%) of the food mixture (on dry matter basis

Processing treatments	Starch digestibility	Protein digestibility
Raw blend (control)	30.12±1.95	47.64±0.71
Unfermented autoclaved mixture	48.97±3.51(63)	56.11±1.58(18)
Fermented autoclaved mixture	59.95±2.72(99)	68.91±2.49(45)
SEm (±)	1.16	0.78
CD(P<0.05)	3.48	2.34

* Values are means ± SD of three independent determinations. Figure in parenthesis indicate percent increase (+) over control values.

A significant difference between the protein digestibility of raw and autoclaved food blend was noticed (Table 2). Fermentation further improved the protein digestibility as it could enhance it to the extent of 45 in the fermented blend, when compared to the control. The increase in protein digestibility on autoclaving may be attributed to the loss of phytic acid and inactivation of polyphenols or destructionof trypsin inhibitors (Parihar *et al.*, 1993).Better protein digestibility of fermented products is mainly associated with

the proteolytic activity of fermenting microflora (Sindhu and Khetarpaul, 2003). In addition, phytic acid, which is known to inhibit the proteolytic enzyme (Knuckles *et al.*, 1985), was considerably reduced during fermentation, resulting in the improvement of protein digestibility of the fermented mixture. A significant (P<0.05) negative correlation existed between phytic acid and protein digestibility of the fermented mixture (Table 3).

Table 3: Correlation	coefficients of in	vitro dige	estibilities o	of id and	polypheno	ol content of ferme	nted food	mixture
inole of contention	coefficiento or m	vitto ango		Ji ia aita	pory pricito	or contente or remite	111000	mintenic

Food mixture	Starch digestibility Vs. Phytic acid	Protein digestibility Vs. Phytic acid	Starch digestibility Vs. polyphenol	Protein digestibility Vs. polyphenol
PCMT	-0.8956**	-0.4532*	-0.9573**	-0.5559*

* Values are significant at 5% level.

** Values are significant at 1% level.

224

CONCLUSION

It may be concluded that probiotic fermentation of themixture containing pearl millet, chickpea, skim milk powder and tomato pulp with *L. acidophilus*-R reduced the content of phytic acid and polyphenols and brought a considerable

REFERENCES:

- Akeson WF and Stahmann M A. 1964. A pepsin pancreatin digest index of protein quality evaluation. J. Nutri. 83: 257-9.
- Bernfeld P. 1962. In Comparative Biochemistry (Florkin. M. and Mason. H. W. eds). Academic press. New York vol. III. Pp.355.
- Ekfenyong TE. 1985. Effect of cooking on polyphenolic content of some Nigerian Legumes and Cereals. *Nur. Rep. Int.* **31**: 561-5.
- Ganguli S, Kumar S, Singh AK and Sabikhi L. 2014. Effect of fermentation by probiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCDC 13 on nutritional profile of a dairy cereal based composite substrate. *J. Food Nutr. Disor.* (http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2324-9323.S1-002).
- Goyal R and Khetarpaul N. 1993. Changes in the contents of phytic acid and polyphenols of fermented ricedefatted Soyflour blends. J. Dairying Food Home Sci. 14: 17-24.
- Haug W and Lantzch HJ. 1983. Sensitive method for the rapid determination of phytate in cereals and cereal products. *J. Sci. Food Agric*. **34**: 1423-6.
- Khetarpaul N and Chauhan B M. 1990. Effect of germination and pure culture fermentation on phytic acid and polyphenols content of pearl millet. *J. Food Sci.* 55: 1180-2.
- Knuckles BE, Kuzmickly DD and Betschart AA. 1985. Effect of phytate and partially hydreolysed phytate on in vitro protein digestibility. *J. Food Sci.* **50**: 1080-2.
- Lopez Y, Gordon DT and Fields ML. 1983. Release of phosphorus from phytate by natural lactic acid fermentation. J. Food Sci. 48:953-4.
- Panse YG and Sukhatme P V. 1961. Statistical Method for Agricultural Workers 2nd Edn. *Indian Council of Agricultural Research New Delhi*. PP. **12**, 87.

enhancement in the digestibilities of starch and proteins. Thus, the substrate comprising underutilized raw materials can substitute as a base for low cost probiotic foods with a very high nutritional value.

- Parihar RS, Gupta OP, Singh VP and Parihar MS. 1993. Effect of different processing treatments on *in vitro* digestibility of fababean seeds. *J. Dairying Foods Homes Sci.* 12(3): 149-52.
- Sindhu SC and Khetarpaul N. 2001. Probiotic fermentation on indigenous food mixture:effect on antinutrients and digestibility of starch and protein. *J. Food Com. Ana.* **14**: 601-9.
- Sindhu SC and Khetarpaul N. 2003. Fermentation with one step single and sequential cultures of yeast and lactobacilli effect on antinutrients and digestibilities (in vitro) of starch and protein in indigenously developed food mixture. *Plant Food Human Nutr.* 58: 1-10.
- Singh U and Jambunathan R. 1981. Studies on Desi and Kabuli Chickpea cultivars. The levels of protease inhibitors, level of polyphebols compound and in vitro digestibility. J. Food Sci. 46: 1364.
- Singh U Kherdekar MS and Jambunathan R. 1982. Studies on Desi and Kabuli Chickpea cultivars. The levels of amylase inhibitors. Level of oligosaccharides and *in vitro* starch digestibility. *J. Food Sci.* **47**: 510.
- Soni SK and Sandhu DK.1990. Indian fermented Foods: Microbiological and biochemical aspects Indian. J. Microbio. 30: 135-7.
- Subhulakshmi G, Kumar KG and Venkataraman LV. 1976. Effect of germination on the carbohydrates, protein, trypsin inhibitors, amylase inhibitors and haemagglutinins in horse gram and month bean. *Nutr. Rep. Int.* **13**:19-31.
- Swain J and Hill WF. 1959. The phenolic constituents pramus domestical. The qualitative analysis of phenolic constituents. J. Sci. Food Agric. 10:63.

Citation:

Rani Binita. 2016. Effect of probiotic fermentation on antinutrients and the *invitro* digestibilities of starch and protein of pearl millet based food mixture. *Journal of AgriSearch* **3**(4): 223-225