



# Influence of Abiotic Factors and Hosts on Seasonal Dynamic of Green Lacewing, *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens)

POOJA KHULBE AND ARVIND KUMAR\*<sup>1</sup>

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India

## ABSTRACT

Influence of abiotic factors and hosts on population dynamics of green lacewing, *Chrysoperla lacarnea* (Stephens) was studied in sunflower, *Helianthus annuus* (Linn.). The maximum number of *C. carnea* population was found during mid March –mid April in the first year and mid April – mid May in the second year in sunflower crop. The maximum number of host population viz. egg and larva of *Helicoverpa armigera* and *Myzus persicae* population were found during mid March –mid April in both the year and correlation studies revealed the positive and significant correlation between larval population of *H. armigera*, *M. persicae* and predator *C. carnea*. While there was no significant relationship found between eggs of *H. armigera* and *C. carnea*. The *C. carnea* population on *H. annuus* during both the year was positively correlated with maximum temperature and found significant, while negatively correlated with relative humidity. However, no significant correlation of *C. carnea* was found with minimum temperature in both the year.

ARTICLE INFO	
Received on	: 10.06.2016
Accepted on	: 16.08.2016
Published online	: 10.09.2016

**Keywords:** Seasonal abundance, *Chrysoperla carnea*, *Helicoverpa armigera*, *Myzus persicae*.

## INTRODUCTION

The green lacewing, *Chrysopela carnea* (Stephens) (Chrysopidae: Neuroptera) is a major predator among the various insects. It is known to feed on various soft body pests such as aphids, eggs and larvae of lepidopterans, thrips, scale insects, mealy bugs, mites etc. and is active throughout the year in India (Anonymous, 1992). In undative release of *C. carnea* has been found effective in managing various pests of different crops such as sunflower, groundnut, tobacco and cotton (Ridgway and Murphy, 1984; Singh and Jalali, 1991). The environmental conditions play an important role for growth and development of any organisms. As *C. carniais* an important predatory insect, therefore it was felt to study the influence of various weather parameters (temperature, relative humidity, rainfall, wind velocity and sunshine hours) and hosts viz. *H. armigera* and *Myzus persicae* population on seasonal abundance of *Chrysoper lacarnea* on sunflower crop.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The seasonal abundance of *Chrysoper lacarnea* (Stephens) was studied on sunflower, *Helianthus annuus* (Linn.) at G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. To study the polpulation dynamics of *C. carnea*, sunflower field was divided into five units i.e. four corners and one in the center of the field. Five plants were selected at random from each unit and population of eggs, larvae and pupae of *C. carnea* were recoded weekly from nine leaves i.e. three from lower, middle and upper canopy of each plant. To count the adult population of *C. carnea*, insect collection net was swept five times in each unit and it was replicated three times. The population of eggs and larvae of *Helicoverpa armigera*, and

aphid *Myzus persicae* was also observed from these at randomly selected five plants of sunflower simultaneously. Weekly observations of weather parameters like maximum and minimum temperature (°C), maximum and minimum relative humidity (%), rainfall (mm), sunshine (hr), and wind velocity (km/hr) were recorded from the University Meteorological Observatory, Pantnagar. The Populations of *C. carnea* were correlated statistically with the host populations and these weather parameters using the correlation and regression analysis. The correlation was done as per the formula:

$$r_{xy} = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xy}S_{xy}}}$$

Where x, weather parameter and hosts population

y=C. *carnea* Population

Multiple regression between *C. carnea* populations and weather parameters was done as:

$$y = a + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3x_3 + b_4x_4 + b_5x_5 + b_6x_6 + b_7x_7$$

where y, *C. carnea* population; a, constants;  $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6$  and  $b_7$  constants, regression coefficients;  $x_1$ , maximum temperature;  $x_2$ , minimum temperature;  $x_3$ , maximum relative humidity;  $x_4$ , minimum relative humidity;  $x_5$ , rainfall;  $x_6$ , wind velocity and  $x_7$ , sunshine hours.

Multiple regressions between *C. carnea* populations and hosts populations were done as:

$$y = a + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2$$

where y, *C. carnea* population; a, constants;  $b_1$ , *Helicoverpa armigera* population and  $b_2$ , aphids, *Myzus persicae* population (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To study the seasonal abundance and hosts predator interaction of the *C. carnea* with *H. armigera* and *M. persicae* observations taken from first week of February to last week of

\*Corresponding Author Email: arvind.ento@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Forest Entomology Division, Forest Research Institute, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

June in sunflower crop in the first year. The eggs of *C. carnea* were starts to appear in the field during 7<sup>th</sup> standard week (12February -18 February) and reached at it's peak during 17<sup>th</sup> standard week(23April -29April) (Table 1).The number of eggs was quite high during mid April, which was in accordance with Mannan *et al.* (1995); and Sengonca *et al.* (1994) who reported that oviposition of *C. carnea* was quite high during mid March to mid April in sunflower crop.

The larvae and pupae of *H. armigera* started to appear from 12<sup>th</sup> standard week (19March-25March).The adults of *C. carnea* observed from the 11<sup>th</sup> standard week (12March- 18March). The maximum number of larval and adult population was observed in 18<sup>th</sup> standard week (30April-6May).The maximum number of pupal population was observed in 16<sup>th</sup> standard week (16April-22April).The highest populations of eggs of *H. armigera* and *M. persicae* were found during 13<sup>th</sup> standard week (26March-1April).The maximum numbers of larvae of *H. armigera* were found in 17<sup>th</sup> standard week(23April-29April).There was a good correlation found between the larval population of *H. armigera* and total population of *C. carnea*.

The correlation coefficient between predator *C. carnea* and *H. armigera* was positive and significant (r = 0.940). However no significant correlation was found with larva of *H. armigera* and *Myzus persicae* (r = 0.264, 0.440 respectively.) (Table 3). It was observed that during March-April, the peak period of *H. armigera* and aphid infestation coincided with peak period of predator population. These observations were in accordance with the findings of Mahmoud *et al.* (1981) who reported a positive correlation between aphid populations and their predators. Similarly, Ghavami and Ozgur (1992) reported that *C. carnea* population increased in relation to pest populations. Mannan *et al.* (1995) reported that all the life stages of the predator were found throughout the year except during fourth week of February, second week of August and fourth week of September. The highest aphid population was recorded during March and April and the correlation studies revealed the positive correlation between population of aphid and predator which were highly significant.

The correlation between maximum temperature and *C. carnea* population was positive and significant (r = 0.452) (Table 4).

**Table 4: Correlation coefficient between weather parameters and *Chrysoperla carnea* population on sunflower during 2008 and 2009**

Weather Parameters	Correlation coefficient	
	2008	2009
Maximum temperature (°C)	0.452*	0.500*
Minimum temperature (°C)	0.220	0.361
Maximum relative humidity (%)	-0.842*	-0.520*
Minimum relative humidity (%)	-0.619*	-0.636*
Rainfall (mm)	-0.086	-0.333
Wind velocity (km/hr)	0.237	-0.201
Sunshine (hrs)	0.059	0.529*

\*P=0.05

Though, there was significant negative correlation between maximum and minimum relative humidity and predator population (r = -0.842, r = -0.619 respectively). However no significant relationship was observed with minimum temperature, wind velocity and sunshine (r = 0.22, 0.237 and 0.059 respectively) (Table 4). These observations were in accordance with the finding of Cardoso *et al.* (2003), who has reported that the Chrysopids predator were recorded mostly during the summer, possibly influenced by temperature and Swaminathan *et al.* (2003) reported that the population of *C. carnea* was higher during winter than summer.

The cumulative regression coefficient between *C. carnea* and host population during 2008 was positive (R<sup>2</sup>=83.406) (Table 5).

**Table 5: Cumulative regression coefficients between host population and *Chrysoperla carnea* population on sunflower during 2009**

Host population	2008		2009	
	b	R <sup>2</sup>	b	R <sup>2</sup>
X 1 (Eggs of <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> )	2.85		10.72	
X 2 (Larvae of <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> )	105.30	83.406	69.44	83.281
X 3 (Aphid, <i>Myzus persicae</i> )	-7.15		-42.17	

The cumulative regression equation between *C. carnea* and host population was Y= -150.97+2.85X1+105.30X2-7.15X3. The cumulative regression coefficient between *C. carnea* and weather factors during 2008 was positive (R<sup>2</sup>=83.72) (Table 6).

**Table 6: Cumulative regression coefficients between weather parameters and *Chrysoperla carnea* population on sunflower during 2009**

Weather Parameters	2008		2009	
	b	R <sup>2</sup>	b	R <sup>2</sup>
X <sub>1</sub> (Maximum temperature)(°C)	-0.016		0.004	
X <sub>2</sub> (Minimum temperature) (°C)	-0.155		0.34	
X <sub>3</sub> (Maximum relative humidity) (%)	0.046		-0.046	
X <sub>4</sub> (Minimum relative humidity) (%)	-0.114	83.729	0.053	90.840
X <sub>5</sub> (Rainfall) (mm)	-0.083		0.013	
X <sub>6</sub> (Wind velocity) (km/hr)	-0.043		-0.073	
X <sub>7</sub> (Sunshine) (hrs)	-0.116		0.075	

In the second year 2009 observation on interaction of the *C. carnea* with *H. armigera* and *M. persicae* were taken from first week of February 2009 in sunflower crop till the last week of June. The eggs of *C. carnea* first appear in 9<sup>th</sup> standard week(26February-4March) and gradually increased and reached at it's peak in 19<sup>th</sup> standard week (07May-13 May) (Table 2). Then the egg population of *C. carnea* gradually decreased from 20<sup>th</sup> standard week (14May-20May) to 26<sup>th</sup>

standard week (25Jun-1Jul). The larval and pupal population of *C. carnea* first appear in 12<sup>th</sup> standard week (19March-25March), increased gradually and reached at its peak in 18<sup>th</sup> standard week (30April-6 May) and pupal population in 17<sup>th</sup> standard week (23April-29April). The adult population of *C. carnea* first appear in 11<sup>th</sup> standard week (12March-18March) and reached at its peak in 17<sup>th</sup> standard week (23 April-29April). Therefore, results revealed that maximum larval, pupal and adult population of *C. carnea* was found in the month of April, which is similar to the previous year results.

The egg population of *H. armigera* first appear in 7<sup>th</sup> standard week (12 February-18February) gradually increased and reached at its peak in 15<sup>th</sup> standard week (09April-15April). Then the egg population gradually decreased from 16<sup>th</sup> standard week (16April-22April) to 20<sup>th</sup> standard week (14May-20May).

There were no eggs found in 21<sup>st</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup> standard week of May and month of June. The first larval population of *H. armigera* was found in 7<sup>th</sup> standard week (12February-18February), gradually increased and reached at its peak in 19<sup>th</sup> standard week (7May-13May). Then the larval population of *H. armigera* gradually decreased from 20<sup>th</sup> standard week (14May-20May) to 25<sup>th</sup> standard week (18June -24June). There was no larval population found in 26<sup>th</sup> standard week (25June -1July). The *M. persicae* population was found throughout the season and its peak was found in 15<sup>th</sup> standard week (09April-15April).

Thus results revealed that the highest number of host population was found in the month of April which was very well synchronized with the population of predator *C. carnea*.

The correlation coefficient between predator *C. carnea* and *H. armigera* was positive and significant ( $r = 0.939$ ). However non significant correlation of *C. carnea* was found with larva of *H. armigera* and *Myzus persicae* ( $r = 0.246, 0.603$  respectively) in the year 2009 (Table 3).

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous. 1992. Indian Chrysopidae. *Natn. Cent. Integ. Pest Mgt. Bull.* 5:1.
- Cardoso JT, Lazzari SMN, Freitas-S-de, Iede ET and Freitas DS. 2003. Occurrence and population fluctuation of Chrysopidae (Neuroptera) on *Pinustaeda* (L.) (Pinaceae) in Southern Parana. *Revista-Brasileira-de-Entomologia.* 473-5.
- Ghavami MD and Ozgur AF. 1992. Population development of pests and their interaction with predatory insects in cotton fields. *Proceedings of the second Turkish National Congress of Entomology.* 227-38.
- Mahmoud TT, Khalid FM and Awadalla KT. 1981. Population dynamics of aphids and enemies on peach tree Mosul region, Iraq. *Mesopotamia J. Agric.* 16 (2): 167-183.
- Mannan VD, Varma GC and Brar KS. 1995. Seasonal fluctuations and host predator relationship of

**Table 3: Correlation coefficient between host population and *Chrysoperla carnea* population on sunflower during 2008 and 2009**

Host population	Correlation coefficient	
	2008	2009
Eggs of <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>	0.264	0.246
Larvae of <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>	0.940*	0.939*
Aphid, <i>Myzus persicae</i>	0.440	0.603*

\* $P=0.05$

The correlation coefficient between *C. carnea* and weather factors during 2009 was positive and significant for maximum temperature and sunshine ( $r = 0.500$  and  $0.529$  respectively), negative and significant for maximum relative humidity and minimum relative humidity ( $r = -0.520$  and  $-0.636$  respectively). However, no significant relationship was found with minimum temperature, rainfall and wind velocity ( $r = 0.361, -0.33$  and  $-0.201$  respectively). The cumulative regression coefficient between *C. carnea* and host population during 2009 was positive ( $R^2 = 83.281$ ) (Table 5). The cumulative regression equation between *C. carnea* and host population was  $Y = 11.49 + 10.72X_1 + 69.44X_2 - 42.17X_3$ . The cumulative regression coefficient between *C. carnea* and weather factors during 2009 was positive ( $R^2 = 90.84$ ) (Table 6). It may be concluded that, the predator, *C. carnea* is available throughout the crop season. The egg laying was maximum during mid March- mid May and host population is coincided with the predator population, which was positively correlated with maximum temperature.

## CONCLUSION

Thus, it may be concluded that weather condition play an important role in seasonal incidence and activity of pest population and as well as their predator population. The predator population increases with the increasing temperature and decreasing relative humidity from March to April and at the same time larval, pupal and adult population of *C. carnea* increases. Therefore, application of insecticide for the control of *H. armigera* and Aphis in the month of March and April should very be carefully and considering the predator population in the field.

*Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (*Chrysopidae: Neuroptera*). *Indian Journal of Ecology* 22(1): 21-26.

Ridgway RE and Murphy WL. 1984. Biological control in the field pp. 220-227. In M. Canard, Y. Semiria and T.R. New (eds.), *Biology of Chrysoperla Boston*, Junk.

Sengonca C, Passenheim A and Lochte C. 1994. Seasonal fluctuation of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera, Chrysopidae) and some species of Coccinellids in an organically managed field of faba beans. *Gesunde Pflanzen.* 257-260.

Singh SP and Jalali SK. 1991. Trichogrammatids egg parasitoids. Their production and use. *Extension Bulletin No. 1: 155 pp.* B.C.C., NCIPM.

Swaminathan VR, Mahadeva VR and Muthukrishnan N. 2003. Population ecology of *Chrysoperla carnea*, the green lacewing predator in southern districts of Tamil Nadu. *Indian Journal of Entomology.* 167-9.

## Citation:

Khulbe P and Kumar A. 2016. Influence of abiotic factors and hosts on seasonal dynamic of green lacewing, *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens). *Journal of Agri Search* 3(3): 175-177